

Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 4, 1998

Mr. Saul Pedregon Assistant City Attorney Criminal Law and Police Division City of Dallas City Hall Dallas, Texas 75201

OR98-0593

Dear Mr. Pedregon:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 113325.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for five specific offense reports. You state that four of the offense reports have been released. However, you claim that the remaining offense report is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108, the "law enforcement exception," provides in relevant part as follows:

(a) [i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of 552.021 if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation or prosecution of crime; [or] (2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. . . .

You state that the requested information relates to an active criminal investigation. As the requested information relates to a pending criminal investigation, we find that release of most of the requested information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime.

We note that "basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime" is not excepted from required public disclosure. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information is the type of information that is considered to be front page offense report information even if this information is not actually located on the front page of the offense report. See generally Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976). Generally, the identity of the victim or complainant may not be withheld from public disclosure under section 552.108. However, information tending to identify victims of serious sexual offenses and detailed description of these offenses must be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 because such information is protected by common-law privacy.\(^1\) See Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). The department must release the remaining front page information to the requestor.

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied on as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions regarding this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

June B. Harden

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JBH/glg

Ref.: ID# 113325

Enclosures: Submitted documents

Mr. David C. Kent cc:

Hughes & Luce, L.L.P.

1717 Main Street, Suite 2800

Dallas, Texas 75201

(w/o enclosures)

We note that in cases where a pseudonym is used, the pseudonym is not protected by privacy.